1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2 3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5	LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446) Special Assistant United States Attorney
6 7 8	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7368 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 11-0102 RS
15	Plaintiff,)
16	v.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
17 18	RICARDO GARCIA LOPEZ,) U.S.C. § 3161 a/k/a Apolinar Mendoza Garcia,) a/k/a Javier Garcia Sanchez,)
19	Defendant.
20	
21	On March 7, 2011, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the
22	Court set the matter to March 29, 2011. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time
23	between March 7, 2011and March 29, 2011, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. §
24	3161. The parties have represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time
25	necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §
26	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an
27	exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
28	

1	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this
2	agreement.
3	SO STIPULATED:
4	MELDIDA HAAC
5	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
6	
7	DATED: March 9, 2011 /s/ LOWELL C. POWELL
8	Special Assistant United States Attorney
9	
10	DATED: March 9, 2011 /s/ BARRY PORTMAN
11	Attorney for RICARDO GARCIA LOPEZ
12	
13	[PROPOSED] ORDER
14	For the reasons stated above and at the March 7, 2011 hearing, the Court finds that the
15	exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from March 7,
16	2011 through March 29, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
17	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
18	§3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny
19	counsel for the defendant and for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective
20	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and deny the parties continuity of
21	counsel. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	
24	DATED:03/09/11 THE HOVE Judge Joseph C. Spero
25	THE HOVE Judge Joseph SEP & C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
26	TADISTRICTOR
27	
28	I

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0102 RS